

TIT/HEP-215

NUP-A-93-4

hep-th@xxx/9303090

March, 1993

Exact Beta Functions in the Vector Model and Renormalization Group Approach

SABURO HIGUCHI *

*Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,**Oh-okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152, Japan*

CHIGAK ITOI †

*Department of Physics and Atomic Energy Research Institute,**College of Science and Technology, Nihon University,**Kanda Surugadai, Chiyoda, Tokyo 101, Japan*

and

NORISUKE SAKAI ‡

*Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,**Oh-okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152, Japan*

Abstract

The validity of the renormalization group approach for large N is clarified by using the vector model as an example. An exact difference equation is obtained which relates free energies for neighboring values of N . The reparametrization freedom in field space provides infinitely many identities which reduce the infinite dimensional coupling constant space to that of finite dimensions. The effective beta functions give exact values for the fixed points and the susceptibility exponents.

*e-mail: hig@phys.titech.ac.jp, JSPS fellow

†e-mail: itoi@phys.titech.ac.jp

‡e-mail: nsakai@phys.titech.ac.jp

The two-dimensional quantum gravity is important to study string theories and is useful as a toy model for higher dimensional quantum gravity. The matrix model gives a discretized version of the two-dimensional quantum gravity. Exact solutions of the matrix model [1]–[2] have been obtained for two-dimensional quantum gravity coupled to conformal matter with central charge $c \leq 1$. The result can also be understood by means of the continuum approach [3]–[4]. Although several exact solutions of the matrix model have been obtained, it is worth studying approximation schemes which enable us to calculate critical coupling constants and critical exponents for unsolved matrix models, especially for $c > 1$. In order to make use of such a scheme, we need to make sure that the approximation method gives correct results for the exactly solved cases.

Recently Brézin and Zinn-Justin have proposed a renormalization group approach to the matrix model[7]. They drew an analogy between the fixed point of the renormalization group flow and the double scaling limit of the matrix model [2]. They observed that a change $N \rightarrow N + \delta N$ can be compensated by a change of coupling constants $g \rightarrow g + \delta g$ in order to give the same continuum physics. They needed to enlarge the coupling constant space as in the Wilson’s renormalization group approach [8]. Consequences of their approach have been examined by several groups [9]. A similar approach has been advocated previously for the $1/N$ expansion in a somewhat different context [10]. In the case of the one-matrix model with $c \leq 1$, Brézin and Zinn-Justin obtained reasonable results for the fixed point and the susceptibility exponents in the first nontrivial approximation. In order to demonstrate the validity of the renormalization group approach, however, one should show that the systematic improvement of their approximate evaluation converges to the correct result. To this end, it is important to study a model in which a renormalization group equation can be derived exactly, even if it is simpler than the matrix model. The vector model has been proposed for a discretized one-dimensional quantum gravity, in the same way as the matrix model for a discretized two-dimensional quantum gravity[11]–[14].

The purpose of our paper is to clarify the validity and the meaning of the renormalization group approach by considering the vector model. For the vector model, we obtain an exact difference equation which relates the free energy $-\log Z_{N-2}(g)$ to the free energy $-\log Z_N(g - 2\delta g)$ with slightly different values of coupling constants. We find that these coupling constant shifts δg_k are of order $1/N$ and occur in infinitely many coupling constants. We also obtain infinitely many identities which express the freedom to reparametrize the field space. By using these identities, we can rewrite the flow in the infinite dimensional coupling constant space as an effective flow in the space of finite number of coupling constants. The resulting effective beta function determines the fixed points and the susceptibility exponents. The inhomogeneous term in the effective renormalization group equation serves to fix

non-universal (analytic) terms of the free energy. To illustrate the procedure by an explicit example, we analyze in detail the cases of one and two coupling constants which give the first two multicritical points $m = 2$ and 3 . We obtain the fixed points and the susceptibility exponents which are in complete agreement with the exact results.

We first recall the renormalization group approach for the matrix model. The partition function $Z_N(g)$ of the matrix model with a single coupling constant g for the quartic interaction is defined by an integral over an $N \times N$ hermitian matrix Φ

$$Z_N(g) = \int d^{N^2} \Phi \exp \left[-N \left(\frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{g}{4} \Phi^4 \right) \right]. \quad (1)$$

The matrix model gives the random “triangulation” of two-dimensional surfaces [1]. The $1/N$ expansion of the free energy $F(N, g)$

$$F(N, g) = -\frac{1}{N^2} \log Z_N(g) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-2h} f_h(g) \quad (2)$$

distinguishes the contributions f_h from the surface with h handles. In the double scaling limit

$$N \rightarrow \infty, \quad g \rightarrow g_*, \quad \text{with} \quad N^{\frac{2}{\gamma_1}} (g - g_*) \quad \text{fixed}, \quad (3)$$

the singular part of the free energy satisfies the scaling law [3] with the susceptibility exponent $\gamma_0 + h\gamma_1$ linear in the number of handles h

$$\begin{aligned} f_h(g) &= (g - g_*)^{2-\gamma_0-\gamma_1 h} a_h + \dots, \\ F(N, g) &= (g - g_*)^{2-\gamma_0} f(N^{\frac{2}{\gamma_1}} (g - g_*)). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The functional form of f can be determined by a nonlinear differential equation (string equation) [2]. The continuum limit is achieved at the critical point $g \rightarrow g_*$ where the average number of triangles diverges. The double scaling limit suggests that we may draw an analogy between $N^{\frac{2}{\gamma_1}}$ and the momentum cut-off Λ^2 .

It has been proposed that the free energy $F(N, g)$ of the matrix model satisfies the following renormalization group equation [7]

$$\left[N \frac{\partial}{\partial N} - \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \gamma(g) \right] F(N, g) = r(g), \quad (5)$$

where $\beta(g)$ is called the beta function. The anomalous dimension and the inhomogeneous term are denoted as $\gamma(g)$ and $r(g)$ respectively. A fixed point g_* is given by a zero of the beta function. The exponents of the double scaling limit can be given by the derivative of the beta function at the fixed point $\gamma_1 = 2/\beta'(g_*)$, $\gamma_0 = 2 - \gamma(g_*)/\beta'(g_*)$. As a practical method to obtain the beta function, Brézin and Zinn-Justin proposed an approximate evaluation of the beta function by integrating over a part of degrees of freedom of the $N \times N$ matrix [7], [10]. They found a reasonable result at the first nontrivial order. We have computed higher orders of the matrix model following their approximation methods, but we find no signs of improvement as we proceed to higher orders. Before discussing the result of the matrix model, we find it more illuminating to study the case of the vector model where we can clarify the situation more fully.

The partition function of the $O(N)$ symmetric vector model is given by

$$Z_N(g) = \int d^N \phi \exp \left[-N \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k}{2k} (\phi^2)^k \right], \quad (6)$$

where ϕ is an N dimensional real vector [11] – [14]. Here we introduce infinitely many coupling constants g_k , since we need all possible induced interactions after a renormalization group transformation even if we start with a few coupling constants only. The $1/N$ expansion of the logarithm of the partition function gives contributions from h loops as terms with N^{1-h} . The vector model has the double scaling limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $N^{1/\gamma_1}(g - g_*)$ fixed, where the singular part of the free energy satisfies the scaling law [11] – [14]

$$-\log \left[\frac{Z_N(g)}{Z_N(g_1 = 1, g_k = 0 \ (k \geq 2))} \right] \Big|_{sing} = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{1-h} (g - g_*)^{2-\gamma_0-\gamma_1 h} a_h + \dots \quad (7)$$

One should note that the power of $1/N$ and the definition of the susceptibility exponents are slightly different from the matrix model. Therefore the relation between these susceptibility exponents and the derivative of the beta function becomes

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\beta'(g_*)}, \quad \gamma_0 = 2 - \frac{\gamma(g_*)}{\beta'(g_*)}. \quad (8)$$

In the spirit of the approximation method of ref.[7], we can integrate over the $N + 1$ -th component α of the vector ϕ_{N+1}

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{N+1} &= (\phi_N, \alpha), \\ Z_{N+1}(g) &= \int d^N \phi_N d\alpha \exp \left[-(N+1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k}{2k} (\phi_N^2 + \alpha^2)^k \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Neglecting higher order terms in $1/N$, we obtain

$$\frac{Z_{N+1}(g)}{Z_{N+1}(g_1 = 1, g_k = 0 \ (k \geq 2))} = \int d^N \phi_N \exp \left[-N \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k + \delta g_k}{2k} (\phi_N^2)^k + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \right], \quad (10)$$

where the shifts δg_k of the coupling constants are found to be

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k}{k} x^k + \log \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k}{g_1} x^{k-1} \right) = N \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta g_k}{k} x^k. \quad (11)$$

Now we shall show that the shift δg_k can be evaluated exactly in the vector model without using approximation methods such as described above. To demonstrate that the result is exact, we start with the partition function $Z_{N-2}(g)$. After integrating over angular coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^{N-2}$, we perform a partial integration in the radial coordinate $x = \phi^2$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{N-2}(g) &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}-1)} \int_0^{\infty} dx \ x^{N/2-2} \exp \left[-(N-2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k}{2k} x^k \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}-1)} \int_0^{\infty} dx \ x^{N/2-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k x^{k-1} \right) \exp \left[-(N-2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_k}{2k} x^k \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Identifying the right hand side with $((N-2)g_1/2\pi)Z_N(g-2\delta g)$, we obtain an exact difference equation for the logarithm of the partition function

$$\begin{aligned} & [(-\log Z_N(g)) - (-\log Z_{N-2}(g))] - \log \frac{(N-2)g_1}{2\pi} \\ &= -[(-\log Z_N(g-2\delta g)) - (-\log Z_N(g))]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We find that the shifts δg_k of the coupling constants are exactly identical to the result (11) of the approximate evaluation. We would like to stress that no approximation is employed to obtain eq. (13). Therefore we can infer that the vector model offers an example to justify the approximate evaluation method of ref.[7].

In the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, we can obtain a differential equation from the exact difference equation (13)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial N} (-\log Z_N(g)) - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{Ng_1}{2\pi} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta g_k \frac{\partial}{\partial g_k} (-\log Z_N(g)). \quad (14)$$

One can bring the quadratic term in the potential to the standard form $\phi^2/2$ since g_1 can be absorbed by a rescaling $g_1 \phi^2 \rightarrow \phi^2$. We have

$$Z_N(g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots) = g_1^{-N/2} Z_N(1, g_2/g_1^2, g_3/g_1^3, \dots). \quad (15)$$

Therefore it is convenient to use the rescaled coupling constants $\tilde{g}_k$ together with g_1 as independent coupling constants

$$\tilde{g}_k = g_k/g_1^k. \quad (16)$$

We shall define the free energy for the vector model

$$F(N, \tilde{g}) = -\frac{1}{N} \log Z_N(g) - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{Ng_1}{2\pi}. \quad (17)$$

If we use $g_1, \tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3, \dots$ as independent coupling constants, we find from the rescaling identity (15) that the partition function Z_N depends on g_1 only through the factor $g_1^{-N/2}$. Therefore, the free energy $F(N, \tilde{g})$ is independent of g_1 and is a function of $\tilde{g}_k$ ($k \geq 2$) only.

We denote the partial derivatives with respect to $g_1, \tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3, \dots$ by $|_{\tilde{g}}$, and those with $g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots$ by $|_g$

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial g_1} \right|_g = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial g_1} \right|_{\tilde{g}} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{\tilde{g}_k}{g_1} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{g}_k} \right|_{\tilde{g}}, \quad \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial g_k} \right|_g = \frac{1}{g_1^k} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{g}_k} \right|_{\tilde{g}}. \quad (18)$$

Thus we obtain a renormalization group equation for the free energy F

$$\left[N \frac{\partial}{\partial N} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} N \left(\frac{\delta g_k}{g_1^k} - \frac{\delta g_1}{g_1} k \tilde{g}_k \right) \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{g}_k} \right|_{\tilde{g}} + 1 \right] F(N, \tilde{g}) = N \delta g_1 \frac{1}{2g_1} - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (19)$$

Eq.(19) shows that the anomalous dimension is given by

$$\gamma(\tilde{g}) = 1, \quad (20)$$

which implies a relation between two susceptibility exponents

$$\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 = 2. \quad (21)$$

We read off the beta functions in the rescaled coupling constants as

$$\tilde{\beta}_k(\tilde{g}) = N \left(\frac{\delta g_k}{g_1^k} - \frac{\delta g_1}{g_1} k \tilde{g}_k \right). \quad (22)$$

These beta functions can be evaluated explicitly using eq.(11) as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta}_2(\tilde{g}) &= -\tilde{g}_2 - 3\tilde{g}_2^2 + 2\tilde{g}_3, \\ \tilde{\beta}_3(\tilde{g}) &= -2\tilde{g}_3 + \tilde{g}_2^3 - 6\tilde{g}_2\tilde{g}_3 + 3\tilde{g}_4, \\ \tilde{\beta}_4(\tilde{g}) &= -3\tilde{g}_4 - \tilde{g}_2^4 + 4\tilde{g}_2^2\tilde{g}_3 - 2\tilde{g}_3^2 - 8\tilde{g}_2\tilde{g}_4 + 4\tilde{g}_5, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Let us investigate the simultaneous zero of the beta functions $\tilde{\beta}_k = 0$ ($k \geq 2$) in the spirit of ref.[7]. The first condition $\tilde{\beta}_2 = 0$ gives $\tilde{g}_3$ in terms of $\tilde{g}_2$. The second condition $\tilde{\beta}_3 = 0$ determines $\tilde{g}_4$ in terms of $\tilde{g}_2$ and $\tilde{g}_3$, and hence in terms of $\tilde{g}_2$. As can be seen in eq.(23), $\tilde{\beta}_k(\tilde{g})$ turns out to be a sum of $k\tilde{g}_{k+1}$ and a polynomial in $\tilde{g}_j, j \leq k$. Therefore we find that there always exists a solution of $\tilde{\beta}_k = 0$ ($k \geq 2$) for each given value of the coupling constant $\tilde{g}_2$. In the following, we shall show that this strange result of the apparent existence of the one-parameter family of fixed points is due to a misinterpretation of the renormalization group flow.

The key observation is the ambiguity to identify the renormalization group flow in the coupling constant space. Though the above equation (19) seems to describe a renormalization group flow in the infinite dimensional coupling constant space, the direction of the flow is in fact ambiguous because all the differential operators ($\partial/\partial\tilde{g}_k$) are not linearly independent as we will see shortly. To see this, note that the partition function (6) is invariant under reparametrizations of the integration variable ϕ . Since the model is $O(N)$ invariant, we can obtain new informations only from reparametrizations of the radial coordinate $x = \phi^2$. Since the radial coordinate should take values on the half real line, we consider the most general reparametrization which keeps the integration range $[0, \infty)$

$$x = y \left(1 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j y^j \right), \quad (24)$$

where ε_j 's are infinitesimal parameters. Substituting (24) in (12) and differentiating with respect to ε_j 's, we obtain a family of identities

$$L_j Z_N(g) = 0 \quad (j \geq 0), \quad (25)$$

$$L_j = \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{\infty} g_{\ell-j} \ell \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{\ell}} \right|_g - \left(1 + \frac{2j}{N} \right) j \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial g_j} \right|_g + \frac{N}{2} \delta_{j,0}. \quad (26)$$

The differential operators L_j constitute half of the Virasoro algebra. We can show that this algebra is identical with the one found in [11] and [12].

It is more useful to use the rescaled coupling constants $\tilde{g}_k$. The reparametrization identity corresponding to ε_0 is nothing but the infinitesimal form of the rescaling identity (15) and reads

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial g_1} \right|_{\tilde{g}} F(N, \tilde{g}) = 0. \quad (27)$$

In terms of the rescaled coupling constants, the reparametrization identity corresponding to ε_1 is given as

$$-\frac{N+2}{2N} + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{2}{N} \right) \tilde{g}_l + \tilde{g}_{l-1} \right\} \ell \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{g}_{\ell}} \right|_{\tilde{g}} F(N, \tilde{g}) = 0, \quad (28)$$

The reparametrization identities corresponding to ε_j is given by

$$\left\{ - \left(1 + \frac{2j}{N} \right) j \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{g}_j} + \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_{\ell-j} \ell \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{g}_\ell} \right\} \Big|_{\tilde{g}} F(N, \tilde{g}) = 0. \quad (29)$$

We see that derivatives of the free energy in terms of infinitely many coupling constants $\tilde{g}_k$ are related by infinitely many reparametrization identities. Thus one can expect that only a finite number of derivatives are linearly independent. The exact difference equation (13) combined with the reparametrization identities (28) and (29) constitute the complete set of equations to characterize the renormalization group flow in our approach.

To illustrate the use of the reparametrization identities, we shall first take the case of a single coupling constant. Let us consider a point in the coupling constant space

$$(g_1, \tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3, \tilde{g}_4, \dots) = (g_1, \tilde{g}_2, 0, 0, \dots). \quad (30)$$

At this point, the j -th identity relates $\partial F / \partial \tilde{g}_{j+2}$ to $\partial F / \partial \tilde{g}_{j+1}$ except for the case of $j = 1$ where an extra constant term is present. Therefore we can express $\partial F / \partial \tilde{g}_k$ ($k \geq 3$) in terms of $\partial F / \partial \tilde{g}_2$ by solving these reparametrization identities recursively in the one-dimensional subspace (30) of coupling constants. It is most convenient to organize the solution in powers of $1/N$. We find explicitly at leading order

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{g}_k} = B_k \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{g}_2} + R_k + O(N^{-1}), \quad (31)$$

where

$$B_k = \frac{(1 - \Delta)^2}{16\sqrt{\Delta}} \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{1 - \sqrt{\Delta}} \right)^k - \left(\frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{\Delta}} \right)^k \right\} \frac{2}{k}, \quad (32)$$

$$R_k = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \left\{ \left(\frac{2}{1 - \sqrt{\Delta}} \right)^{k-2} - \left(\frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{\Delta}} \right)^{k-2} \right\} \frac{1}{2k}, \quad (33)$$

$$\Delta = 4\tilde{g}_2 + 1. \quad (34)$$

These solutions at leading order are sufficient to eliminate $\partial F / \partial \tilde{g}_k$ ($k \geq 3$) in favor of $\partial F / \partial \tilde{g}_2$ in (19). Thus we obtain a renormalization group equation with the effective beta function $\beta^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2)$ and the inhomogeneous term $r(\tilde{g}_2)$

$$\left[N \frac{\partial}{\partial N} - \beta^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{g}_2} + 1 \right] F(N, \tilde{g}_2) = r(\tilde{g}_2), \quad (35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k \tilde{\beta}_k(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_k = 0, k \geq 3) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left[1 - \Delta - \frac{(1 - \Delta)^2}{2\sqrt{\Delta}} \log \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\Delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\Delta}} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{2}{3} \left(\tilde{g}_2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{32}{15} \left(\tilde{g}_2 + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 + \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r(\tilde{g}_2) &= \frac{N}{2} \delta g_1 - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} R_k \tilde{\beta}_k(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_k = 0, k \geq 3) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\Delta}} \left[\left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \right)^2 \log \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \right) - \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \right)^2 \log \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\tilde{g}_2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{2}{15} \left(\tilde{g}_2 + \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 + \dots.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The effective beta function $\beta^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2)$ exhibits a zero at $\tilde{g}_2 = -1/4$. Furthermore, we can calculate the susceptibility exponents from the derivative of the effective beta function by using (8) and (20)

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\beta'(\tilde{g}_{2*})} = \frac{3}{2}, \quad \gamma_0 = 2 - \frac{\gamma(\tilde{g}_{2*})}{\beta'(\tilde{g}_{2*})} = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{38}$$

The fixed point and the susceptibility exponent are in complete agreement with the exact results for the $m = 2$ critical point of the vector model corresponding to pure gravity [11] – [13]. The beta function $\beta^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2)$ has also a trivial fixed point at $\tilde{g}_2 = 0$, which is ultraviolet unstable since $\partial \beta^{\text{eff}} / \partial \tilde{g}_2(\tilde{g}_2 = 0) = -1 < 0$.

We can extract the complete information from the renormalization group flow in our approach, namely the exact difference equation and the reparametrization identities by a systematic expansion of the free energy in powers of $1/N$

$$F(N, \tilde{g}_2) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-h} f_h(\tilde{g}_2). \tag{39}$$

The free energy at leading order f_0 satisfies an ordinary differential equation exactly,

$$f_0(\tilde{g}_2) - \beta^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \tilde{g}_2}(\tilde{g}_2) = r(\tilde{g}_2). \tag{40}$$

where the effective beta function and the inhomogeneous term are those given in eqs.(36) and (37). We see immediately that the general solution is given by a sum of

an arbitrary multiple of the solution of the homogeneous equation and a particular solution of the inhomogeneous equation. Since both the effective beta function $\beta^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2)$ and the inhomogeneous term $r(\tilde{g}_2)$ are analytic in $\tilde{g}_2$ around the fixed point $\tilde{g}_2 = -1/4$, the singular behaviour of f_0 comes from the solution of the homogeneous equation. It is important to notice that the singular term corresponding to the continuum physics (the so-called universal term) is specified by the beta function alone. Their normalization, however, cannot be obtained from the renormalization group equation. The analytic contributions are determined by the inhomogeneous term and the effective beta function.

To obtain the full information for the free energy up to the order N^{-h} , we should write down the solution of the recursive reparametrization identities (28) and (29) up to the order N^{-h} explicitly. By inserting the solution into the exact difference equation (13) and by expanding it up to the power N^{-h} , we obtain an ordinary differential equation for f_h

$$(1 - h)f_h(\tilde{g}_2) - \beta^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2)\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \tilde{g}_2}(\tilde{g}_2) = r_h(\tilde{g}_2). \quad (41)$$

We see that the effective beta function is common to all h , whereas the inhomogeneous terms r_h depend on h . Therefore we find the singular part of the free energy is determined by the beta function up to a normalization a_h

$$\begin{aligned} f_h(\tilde{g}_2) &= f_h(\tilde{g}_2)_{\text{sing}} + f_h(\tilde{g}_2)_{\text{analytic}} \\ f_h(\tilde{g}_2)_{\text{sing}} &= (\tilde{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_{2*})^{2-\gamma_0-\gamma_1 h} a_h + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

If we sum over the contributions from various h , we find that the renormalization group equation determines the combinations of variables appropriate to define the double scaling limit. On the other hand, the functional form of the scaled variable is undetermined corresponding to the undetermined normalization factor a_h for the singular terms of each h

$$\begin{aligned} F(N, \tilde{g}) &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-h} f_h(\tilde{g}_2)_{\text{sing}} = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} N^{-h} (\tilde{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_{2*})^{2-\gamma_0-\gamma_1 h} a_h \\ &= (\tilde{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_{2*})^{2-\gamma_0} f(N^{1/\gamma_1}(\tilde{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_{2*}))_{\text{sing}}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

It has been known in the exact solution of the vector model that a nonlinear differential equation determines the functional form $f\left(N^{1/\gamma_1}(\tilde{g}_2 - \tilde{g}_{2*})\right)_{\text{sing}}$ of the scaled variables. The equation is called the string equation, or the L_{-1} Virasoro constraint [2], [15]. The above consideration means that the complete set of our renormalization group flow equations, namely the exact difference equation and

the reparametrization identities, does not give the L_{-1} constraint for the universal singular terms. This is in accord with our objective of the renormalization group approach: to obtain at least fixed points and critical exponents even if the exact solution is not available. On the other hand, our equations give informations on the non-universal analytic terms.

We can extend the analysis to the more general situation of finitely many coupling constants. Let us take

$$g_1 = 1, \quad \tilde{g}_k \neq 0 \quad (2 \leq k \leq m), \quad \tilde{g}_k = 0 \quad (k \geq m+1). \quad (44)$$

In this subspace, each reparametrization identity involves only finite number of derivatives. Moreover, the j -th identity relates derivatives in terms of coupling constants $\tilde{g}_{j+1}, \tilde{g}_{j+2}, \dots, \tilde{g}_{j+m}$. Therefore we can solve this identity to rewrite $\partial F / \partial \tilde{g}_{j+m}$ in terms of $\partial F / \partial \tilde{g}_k$ ($j+1 \leq k \leq j+m-1$). By successively using these identities, we find that there are precisely the necessary number of recursion relations to express $\partial F / \partial \tilde{g}_k$ ($k \geq m+1$) in terms of $\partial F / \partial \tilde{g}_k$ ($2 \leq k \leq m$). Consequently, we can reduce the renormalization group equation effectively in the space of the finite number of coupling constants $\tilde{g}_k$ ($2 \leq k \leq m$)

$$\left[N \frac{\partial}{\partial N} - \sum_{k=2}^m \beta_k^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2, \dots, \tilde{g}_m) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{g}_k} + 1 \right] F(N, \tilde{g}_2, \dots, \tilde{g}_m) = r(\tilde{g}_2, \dots, \tilde{g}_m). \quad (45)$$

The m -th multicritical point should be obtained as a simultaneous zero of all the beta functions $\beta_2^{\text{eff}} = \dots = \beta_m^{\text{eff}} = 0$. The susceptibility exponent is given by eigenvalues of the matrix of derivatives of beta functions $\Omega_{ij} = \partial \beta_i^{\text{eff}} / \partial \tilde{g}_j$ at the fixed point, which is an $(m-1) \times (m-1)$ real matrix.

To illustrate the multi-coupling case explicitly, we take the case of two coupling constants $m=3$. We can solve the reparametrization identities recursively to leading order in $1/N$, and combine $\tilde{\beta}_k(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3, \tilde{g}_k = 0 \ (k \geq 4))$ to obtain the effective beta functions

$$\beta_2^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3) = \frac{-2\alpha\beta\gamma}{(\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha)^2} \frac{(\beta^3 - \gamma^3) \log \alpha + \text{cyclic}}{(\alpha - \beta)(\beta - \gamma)(\gamma - \alpha)} + \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha}, \quad (46)$$

$$\beta_3^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3) = \frac{3\alpha\beta\gamma}{(\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha)^2} \frac{(\beta^2 - \gamma^2) \log \alpha + \text{cyclic}}{(\alpha - \beta)(\beta - \gamma)(\gamma - \alpha)} - \frac{2}{\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha}, \quad (47)$$

where α, β, γ are the three roots of the cubic equation

$$\tilde{g}_3 x^3 + \tilde{g}_2 x^2 + x - 1 = 0. \quad (48)$$

We find three fixed points as the simultaneous zeros of β_2^{eff} and β_3^{eff} . All of them turn out to be on the $m=2$ critical line

$$\tilde{g}_3 = -\frac{1}{27} [2 + 9\tilde{g}_2 \pm 2(1 + 3\tilde{g}_2)^{3/2}]. \quad (49)$$

The first fixed point is at $(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3) = (-1/3, 1/27)$. This result agrees with the exact value of the coupling constants at the $m = 3$ critical point. We have evaluated the derivative matrix $\Omega_{ij} = \partial\beta_i^{\text{eff}}/\partial\tilde{g}_j$ by expanding the effective beta function around the fixed point

$$\Omega_{ij} \left(\tilde{g}_2 = -\frac{1}{3}, \tilde{g}_3 = \frac{1}{27} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{11}{10} & \frac{9}{20} \\ -\frac{7}{60} & \frac{3}{20} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (50)$$

One of the eigenvalues of the derivative matrix is $3/4$ which gives the exact susceptibility exponent $\gamma_1 = 4/3$, while the other eigenvalue is $1/2$ which gives an analytic term. The corresponding eigenvectors also agree with the exact solution. As we stressed before, we can regard N^{1/γ_1} as an ultraviolet cut-off Λ^2 in taking the double scaling limit. Since both eigenvalues are positive, the $m = 3$ fixed point is ultraviolet stable.

The second fixed point is at $\tilde{g}_2 = -1/4$ and $\tilde{g}_3 = 0$. We obtain the derivative matrix $\Omega_{ij} = \partial\beta_i^{\text{eff}}/\partial\tilde{g}_j$ at $(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3) = (-1/4, 0)$

$$\Omega_{ij} \left(\tilde{g}_2 = -\frac{1}{4}, \tilde{g}_3 = 0 \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & \frac{7}{3} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (51)$$

which has eigenvalues $2/3$ and $-1/2$. The eigenvalue $2/3$ correctly gives the $m = 2$ susceptibility exponent $\gamma_1 = 3/2$. The fixed point is attractive in the direction $(\delta\tilde{g}_2, \delta\tilde{g}_3) \propto (1, 0)$ and repulsive in the direction $(\delta\tilde{g}_2, \delta\tilde{g}_3) \propto (-2, 1)$, which are the eigenvectors for the eigenvalues $2/3$ and $-1/2$, respectively. The repulsive direction is tangential to the $m = 2$ critical line (49) at the fixed point $(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3) = (-1/4, 0)$.

The third fixed point is the trivial fixed point at the origin $(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3) = (0, 0)$. Actually we find that the effective beta function $\beta_2^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3 = 0)$ along the $\tilde{g}_2$ axis is identical to the effective beta function $\beta_2^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2)$ in eq.(36) obtained in the single coupling constant case. This is because $\beta_3^{\text{eff}}(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3 = 0) = 0$ along the $\tilde{g}_2$ axis.

The critical line (49) is a trajectory of the renormalization group flow. From the trivial fixed point $(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3) = (0, 0)$, the flow goes to the $m = 3$ fixed point $(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3) = (-1/3, 1/27)$ along the critical line as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Starting from a point on the critical line at the left of the $m = 2$ nontrivial fixed point $(\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3) = (-1/4, 0)$, the flow also goes to the $m = 3$ fixed point. On the other hand, the flow goes to infinity if it starts from a point on the critical line at the right of the $m = 2$ nontrivial fixed point. We notice that all three fixed points are isolated zeros of the effective beta functions, since the derivative matrix is non-degenerate at these fixed points. Explicit evaluation shows that the effective beta function does not vanish at any other point on the $m = 2$ critical line.

As we described earlier, a naive application of the renormalization group approach to matrix models has given us results which show no improvement as we

go to higher orders. In view of our analysis of the exact result on the flow of the coupling constants for the vector model, one should not be surprised by these results on matrix models. We should look for an effective renormalization group flow by taking account of the reparametrization freedom in the field space. Although the above results are derived exactly only for the vector model, we have a similar ambiguity to identify the renormalization group flow in the coupling constant space for matrix models. For instance, there are identities which form a representation of Virasoro algebra in matrix models [15]. There has been some work to interpret the Virasoro constraints as reparametrization identities in the field space in the matrix model[16]. We are trying to devise a way to implement our idea of the effective renormalization group flow through the application of the reparametrization identities to matrix models in order to make the renormalization group approach more useful. Work along this line is in progress.

We thank B. Durhuus and T. Hara for an illuminating discussion and M. Hirsch for a careful reading of the manuscript. This work is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S.H.) and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research for Priority Areas (No. 04245211) (N.S.) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

References

- [1] E. Brézin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J.B. Zuber, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **59** (1978) 59; D. Bessis, C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **1** (1980) 109; V.A. Kazakov, I.K. Kostov and A.A. Migdal, *Phys. Lett.* **157B** (1985) 295; F. David, *Nucl. Phys.* **B257** (1985) 45; V.A. Kazakov and A.A. Migdal, *Nucl. Phys.* **B311** (1988) 171.
- [2] D.J. Gross and A.A. Migdal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 127; 717, *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1990), 333; E. Brézin and V.A. Kazakov, *Phys. Lett.* **236B** (1990) 144; M. Douglas and S. Shenker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B335** (1990) 635; D.J. Gross and N. Miljković, *Phys. Lett.* **238B** (1990) 217; E. Brézin, V.A. Kazakov and A. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B338** (1990) 673; P. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin, *Phys. Lett.* **240B** (1990) 333; G. Parisi, *Phys. Lett.* **238B** (1990) 209, 213.
- [3] F. David, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A3** (1988) 1651; J. Distler and H. Kawai, *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** (1989) 509; J. Distler, Z. Hlousek and H. Kawai, *Int. J. of Mod. Phys.* **A5** (1990) 391; 1093.

- [4] M. Bershadsky and I.R. Klebanov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 3088; N. Sakai and Y. Tanii, *Int. J. of Mod. Phys.* **A6** (1991) 2743.
- [5] S. R. Das, A. Dhar, A. M. Sengupta and S. R. Wadia, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A5** (1990) 1041; L. Alvarez-Gaumé, J.L.F. Barbón and Č. Crnković, preprint CERN-TH-6600-92 (July 1992).
- [6] E. Brézin and S. Hikami, *Phys. Lett.* **283B** (1992) 203; Ecole Normale preprint LPTENS-92-31 (September 1992).
- [7] E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin, *Phys. Lett.* **B288** (1992) 54.
- [8] K.G. Wilson and J. Kogut, *Phys. Rep.* **12C** (1974) 75.
- [9] J. Alfaro and P. Damgaard, *Phys. Lett.* **B289** (1992) 342; V. Periwal, *Phys. Lett.* **B294** (1992) 49; H. Gao, Trieste preprint IC 302-92 (1992).
- [10] J. Carlson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B248** (1984) 536; R. Brustein and S. P. De Alwis, University of Colorado preprint COLO-HEP-253 (1991).
- [11] S. Nishigaki and T. Yoneya, *Nucl. Phys.* **B348** (1991) 787; *Phys. Lett.* **B268** (1991) 35.
- [12] P. Di Vecchia, M. Kato and N. Ohta, *Nucl. Phys.* **B357** (1991) 495; *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **7A** (1992) 1391; P. Di Vecchia and M. Moshe, *Phys. Lett.* **B300** (1992) 49.
- [13] A. Anderson, R. Myers and V. Periwal, *Phys. Lett.* **B254** (1991) 89; *Nucl. Phys.* **B360** (1992) 463.
- [14] J. Zinn-Justin, *Phys. Lett.* **B257** (1991) 335; Saclay preprint SPhT/91-054, 91-185 (1991).
- [15] M. Fukuma, H. Kawai and R. Nakayama, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **6A** (1991) 1385; R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys.* **B348** (1991) 435.
- [16] H. Itoyama and Y. Matsuo, *Phys. Lett.* **B262** (1991) 233; L. Alvarez-Gaumé, C. Gomez and J. Lacki, *Phys. Lett.* **B253** (1991) 56; A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Phys. Lett.* **B252** (1990) 47.